UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/042,367	01/11/2002	Kevin Scott Beyer	ARC920010085US1	6325	
66932 IP AUTHORIT	7590 03/12/2008		EXAMINER		
RAMRAJ SOU	INDARARAJAN	AL HASHEMI, SANA A			
4821A Eisenhower Ave Alexandria, VA 22304				PAPER NUMBER	
,			2164		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	<u>'</u>					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7		
Office Action Summary		10/042,367	BEYER ET AL.	C		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sam Rimell	2164			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Se	eptember 2007.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5,7-11 and 17-19 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,7,8 and 17-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2-5, 9-11 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	••			
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.12			
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	;		
	and accorded declaried Office action for a list (or the certified copies flot receive	J.			
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	oate	IER		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/042,367

Art Unit: 2164

Preliminary Note: This office action is made non-final.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

<u>Claim 17:</u> The preamble of claim 17 refers to emulation of real user access, although none of the steps defined in the body of claim 17 involve such emulation.

Claims 18-19: Depend on claim 17.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jackson et al. (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication 2002/0103823).

Claim 1: Reference is made to paragraphs 0033-0034. The "list of common nouns" in the last line of paragraph 0034 is considered to be a "log file" and is considered to be a log of words capable of being used in HTML documents.

The transcoder 500 is programmed to use the words in this log file to generate parameter values for an HTML form (paragraph 0033, lines 1-4). This correlates to the production of a

synthetic query based on the contents of the log file. The synthetic query is then executed by the transcoder to generate synthetic hyperlinks. These links are then provided to a web crawler so as to provide enhanced access to web content (paragraph 0035, lines 1-3).

Claim 7: Paragraphs 0033-0034 refer to as transcoder as being programmed to review the content of HTML tags. This correlates to reviewing real user form input data, since the content of each tag define the type real input the user is sup[posed to enter in an HTML form.

The transcoder 500 then identifies possible HTML form input data (paragraph 0033, lines 1-4). The possible HTML form inputs are derived from fixed content HTML tags, and thus are pre-defined sets of data.

The form inputs are then provided to the transcoder to generate synthetic hyperlinks. These links are then provided to a web crawler so as to provide enhanced access to web content (paragraph 0035, lines 1-3).

Claim 8: Reference is made to paragraphs 0033-0034. The "list of common nouns" in the last line of paragraph 0034 is considered to be a "log file" and is considered to be a log of words capable of being used in HTML documents. The transcoder 500 is programmed to use the words in this log file to generate parameter values for an HTML form (paragraph 0033, lines 1-4).

Claims 2-5 and 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 17-19 would be allowable if written to overcome the rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,367

Art Unit: 2164

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (571) 272-4084.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2164